Unit	ED STATES PATENT	r and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,861	08/04/2000	Masayuki Chatani	375.05.01	2447
25920 7:	590 04/07/2004	· ·	EXAM	INER
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			ABDI, KAMBIZ	
SUITE 170	I DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/632,861	CHATANI, MASAYUKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	١
•	Kambiz Abdi	3621 My))
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	January 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	· ·	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-24</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ' '	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
	-xammer, Note the attached C	Thice Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer 		liantino Ala	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
application from the International Bure	•	beived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ceived.	
	·		
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)		
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 15	

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- 2. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
 - o Claims 1, 9, 17, 19, and 20, are amended.
 - Claims 1-12 and 17-24 have been considered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1, 9, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claims 1, 9, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1 and 9 fail to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in Paper No. 14 filed 22 January 2004. In that paper, applicant has stated, "to enable access only to <u>a specific content</u> in said primary media database..." and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s). Because As the examiner understands the claims and it has been argued by the applicant, it is not clear how the "a specific content" is identified within the primary media content database, other than identifying specific contents which were associated with the media storage or as it is understood by the examiner it is the entire content of the storage media that is identified by the "user identifier". It is not clear to the examiner what applicant means by the "said specific <u>content</u>..." what distinguishes one content from another based on the user identifier which is only related to the entire

Art Unit: 3621

contents on the "detachable storage media..." or an specific subset of such content that is one content on the media storage? There is no teaching in the specification or in the claims that shows how one specific content is distinguished over the others that are a subset of the content of the media storage and it is unclear on how this distinction is made by using the "detachable storage media having a media identifier, wherein the media identifier is combined with the user specific information..." Further clarifications are requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,619,247 to James Russo, U.S. Patent No. 5,530,754 to Norton Garfinkle and U.S Patent no. 5,857,020 to Mendel Lazear Peterson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,063,547 to Pieter H. Custer.
- 5. As per claims 1 and 9, Russo, Garfinkle and Peterson disclose a method and system for providing access to primary media content in digital form, comprising:
 - a server network comprising a download management server, a customer database storing user information, and a primary content database storing primary media content (See Russo figures 1, 2 and associated text, column 3, lines 1-28, and column 4, lines 22-68, and Garfinkle figures 1, 2, 3, and 5 and associated text, column 2, lines 39-68, and column 3, lines 1-19, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51);
 - a client console connectable for establishing a communications link through a bi-directional communications network to said download management server (See Russo figures 1, 2 and associated text, column 3, lines 1-28, column 4, lines 22-68, and column 5, lines 1-65, and Garfinkle figures 1, 2, 3, and 5 and associated text, and column 4, lines 2-6 Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51);

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/632,861

Art Unit: 3621

- the client console storing user specific information (See Russo column 6, lines 8-63, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51);
- a detachable storage media installable in said client console (See Russo column 7, lines 34-61 and Garfinkle figures 1, 2, 3, and 5 and associated text, and column 1, lines 48-57, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51);
- said detachable storage media having a media identifier (See Russo column 7. lines 34-61 and Garfinkle figures 1, 2 and associated text and column 2, lines 39-68, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
- the user identifier is uploaded to said download management server to enable access to specified content in said primary media content database (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
- said specified content and said user information is downloaded to said client console (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
- the specified content being associated with billing trigger data to enable monitoring of when specific portions of the specified content is accessed for use at said client console (See Russo column 3, lines 1-28, column 5, lines 1-64, column 10, lines 11-68, and column 11, lines 1-15, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),

Art Unit: 3621

- the monitoring being configured to generate a record of used content (See Russo column 10, lines 10-68, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
- the record of used content being communicated back to the management server to record a
 revenue bearing event in the customer database (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and
 column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38),
- Charging only for portion of the specific content used at the client console (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 1-9, column 5, lines 33-65, column 9, lines 38-65, and column 10, lines 10-48),
- wherein the media identifier is combined with the user specific information to define a user identifier (See Custer abstract, figures 2 and 3 and associated text, column 2, lines 3-34, column 4, lines 3-23, and column 6, lines 34-63, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),

Though, Russo, Garfinkle and Peterson are not specific about the specifics of the identification data transmitted between the client console and the central server. However, Custer is clear on how to achieve a better relations among preferred selection of content and making the identification data associated with both specific end user as well as to an specific content by combining the user specific information and specific content information to create an ID (See Custer column 2, lines 3-35, column 4, lines 3-52, column 5, lines 25-29, and column 6, lines 34-52). In addition this method of creating specific identifiers based on combining user specific information and medium or content identifiers to arrive at an specific identifier is well known in the art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the current invention was made to combine the teachings of Russo and Garfinkle, and Russo to achieve the uniqueness of the ID (identification data) that is needed for distribution of specific content to and end user (Motivation can be found in Russo column 8, lines 19-40).

Art Unit: 3621

6. As per claim 2, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 1, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said user identifier comprises an alphanumeric media ID identifying said detachable storage media said alphanumeric media ID defining a level of access available when accessing the primary media content database (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

7. As per claim 3, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 2, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said specified content comprises a portion of an overall set of primary contents stored in said contents database, and wherein said media ID serves to limit access to particular ones of the overall set of primary contents of the specified content (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

8. As per claim 4, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 1, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said specified content is associated with an authentication code for authenticating access to the download management server (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and

Art Unit: 3621

associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

Page 7

9. As per claim 5, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 4, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said specified content comprises a portion of an overall set of primary contents stored in said contents database, and wherein said authentication code authenticates access to the download management server which is responsible for providing access to said specified content (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

10. As per claim 6, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 1, further:

Russo discloses,

wherein each portion of the specified content is associated with respective billing trigger data, the billing trigger data tracks usage to generate a usage history of the primary media content in the customer database (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

11. As per claim 7, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 6, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said detachable storage media includes a program for providing network access and code which causes execution of a program stored in said media console for providing

Art Unit: 3621

network access (See Rusoo column 7, lines 34-61, and column 9, lines 11-68, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

12. As per claim 8, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 1, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said client console further comprises a local fixed storage device disposed internally or externally of said client console, wherein said specified content is downloaded only to said fixed storage device (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, column 4, lines 45-65, column 5, lines 33-65, column 6, lines 12-53, column 8, lines 11-19, and column 10, lines 54-59, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

13. As per claim 10, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 9, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said media ID identifies said detachable storage media, further comprising the step of receiving said media ID and an authentication code from said client console at said download management server, wherein one of said authentication code and said media ID server to limit access to particular portion of said primary media content (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

14. As per claim 11, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 9, further;

Art Unit: 3621

Russo discloses,

wherein the billing trigger data assists in compiling usage information of the specified content in a customer database (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text. Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

Page 9

As per claim 12, Russo, Garfinkle, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 9, 15. further;

Russo discloses,

wherein said step of downloading to said client console from said download management server comprises storing said specified content on a local fixed storage device disposed internally or externally of said client console (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, column 4, lines 45-65, column 5, lines 33-65, column 6, lines 12-53, column 8, lines 11-19, and column 10, lines 54-59, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

- 16. Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.
- 17. Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,619,247 to James Russo and U.S Patent no. 5,857,020 to Mendel Lazear Peterson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,063,547 to Pieter H. Custer.

Art Unit: 3621

- 18. As per claim 17 and 20, Russo and Peterson discloses a method and computer readable media having program instructions for obtaining media content at a client computer, comprising:
 - communicating a request from the client computer to a content provider for specified content
 (See Russo figures 1, 2 and associated text, column 3, lines 1-28, and column 4, lines 22-68,
 Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46,
 column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
 - the request including a media ID of a detachable media and user information (See Russo column 6, lines 8-63 and column 7, lines 34-61, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text,
 Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
 - downloading the specified content to the client computer (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
 - the specified content being associated with billing triggering data (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),
 - the billing triggering data being configured to assist in tracking when portions of the specified content is used at the client computer (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51); and
 - returning information regarding the tracked usage of the portions of the specified content to the content provider (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text,

Art Unit: 3621

Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),

the media ID and the user information defining a user identifier (See Custer abstract, figures 2 and 3 and associated text, column 2, lines 3-34, column 4, lines 3-23, and column 6, lines 34-63, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51),

Though, Russo and Peterson are not specific about the specifics of the identification data transmitted between the client console and the central server. However, Custer is clear on how to achieve a better relations among preferred selection of content and making the identification data associated with both specific end user as well as to an specific content by combining the user specific information and specific content information to create an ID (See Custer column 2, lines 3-35, column 4, lines 3-52, column 5, lines 25-29, and column 6, lines 34-52). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the current invention was made to combine the teachings of Russo and Garfinkle, and Russo to achieve the uniqueness of the ID (identification data) that is needed for distribution of specific content to and end user (Motivation can be found in Russo column 8, lines 19-40).

19. As per claim 18 and 21, Russo, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claim 17 and 20, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein the tracked usage is associated with the user information to enable billing for the usage of the portions of the specified content (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 5, lines 52-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

Art Unit: 3621

20. As per claims 19 and 22, Russo, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claims 17 and 20, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein the specified content is downloaded the client computer, but billing for the downloaded specified content is not triggered until usage of at least a portion of the specified content at the client computer (See Russo figure 2 and associated text, and column 3, lines 1-28, column 5, lines 1-65, column 6, lines 12-33, and column 10, lines 10-38, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

21. As per claim 23, Russo, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claims 17 and 20, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein the computer readable media is packaged media (See Russo column 7, lines 34-61, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

22. As per claim 24, Russo, Peterson and Custer disclose all the limitations of claims 17 and 20, further;

Russo discloses,

wherein the packaged media is a compact disc (See Russo column 7, lines 34-61, Peterson Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated text, Column 2, lines 18-68, column 3, lines 1-46, column 5, lines 15-25, column 6, lines 8-41, column 8, lines 5-51).

23. Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages

Art Unit: 3621

and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Page 13

Conclusion

24. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Abdi whose telephone number is (703) 305-3364. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"](703) 746-7749 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Art Unit: 3621

Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive 7th floor receptionist, Arlington, VA, 22202

Abdi/K April 5, 2004

> JAMES I TRAMMELL SUPERVISORY PATEINT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600